

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/924,816	08/07/2001	Shane J. Trapp	M122-1673	2579	
21567	7590 12/16/2003		EXAMINER		
WELLS ST.		TRAN, BINH X			
SPOKANE,	FAVENUE, SUITE 1300 WA 99201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1765		

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						lV			
		1	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			09/924,816		TRAPP ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Binh X Tran		1765				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appea	ers on the cover s	heet with the c	orrespondence ac	Idress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN insions of time may be available under the provisions: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comit is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum is ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a munication. 30) days, a reply wi tatutory period will a y will, by statute, ca	a). In no event, however thin the statutory minim apply and will expire SI use the application to b	er, may a reply be tim um of thirty (30) days ((6) MONTHS from the ecome ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered time the mailing date of this of	ly. communication.			
1)[Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>3/18/20</u>	<u>003</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊡ This ac	tion is non-final.						
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-15,17-51,53,55-68,88</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) <u>1,4-14,17-51,55-68,89 and</u> Claim(s) <u>2,15 and 53</u> is/are rejected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	are withdrawn <u>/ 90</u> is/are allo I.	from considerat owed.	ion.					
	ion Papers	0.10.11 0.110.01 0	oodon roquironi	5.11c ,		4. 4			
10) <u> </u>	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	: a) ☐ accept ection to the dra g the correction	wing(s) be held in is required if the d	abeyance. See frawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CI	• •			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign n	riority under 35 L	ISC & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)				
a)[* S 13)	All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office action of the attached detailed of a claim force a specific reference was included of CFR 1.78. 1. The translation of the foreign lart ocknowledgment is made of a claim force of the foreign lart ocknowledgment is made of a claim force of the foreign lart ocknowledgment is made of a claim force of the foreign lart ocknowledgment is made of the first sent ocknowledgment is made of the first ocknowledgment is made ocknowledgment is made ocknowledgment is made ocknowledgment is made ocknowledgment is	documents h documents h of the priority onal Bureau (F on for a list of for domestic p d in the first s nguage provision domestic p	ave been received ave been received documents have PCT Rule 17.2(a) the certified copiniority under 35 lentence of the stional application riority under 35 lentence of the stional application riority under 35 lentence 35 l	ed. ed in Application been received)). es not received J.S.C. § 119(e) pecification or has been received	on Nod in this National d.) (to a provisiona in an Application eived. and/or 121 since	l application) Data Sheet. a specific			
Attachment	• •								
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P			tice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(s tent Application (PTC				

Application/Control Number: 09/924,816

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 2, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

In claim 2, the limitation "the enrich region extending to <u>at least a portion of the outer surface</u>" appears to be contradicted with the previous limitation in claim 1. In claim 1, the applicants disclose that the "enrich region being spaced <u>beneath the outer surface and not extending thereto</u>". Once the applicants define a limitation in the independent claim, applicants cannot further define a new limitation in the dependent claim, which is clearly contradicted, with previous limitation.

In claim 15, the limitation "the implanting forming an implanted region which extends to at least a portion of the outer surface" appears to be contradicted with the previous limitation in claim 12. In claim 12, the applicants disclose that the "[the implanted] being spaced beneath the outer surface and not extending thereto". Once the applicants define a limitation in the independent claim, applicants cannot further

Art Unit: 1765

define a new limitation in the dependent claim, which is clearly contradicted, with previous limitation.

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 53 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 53 depends on claim 50. In claim 50, the applicants disclose that "the silicon comprising layer comprising Al". The applicants also disclose "enhancing selectivity to the silicon nitride comprising layer [comprise Al] during removing than would otherwise occur in the absence of the Al". However, in claim 53 the applicants disclose that the "silicon nitride comprising layer comprises Ga". It is unclear from claim 53, whether the silicon nitride-comprising layer comprises both Al and Ga or not. Further it is unclear from claim 53, what would occur for the selectivity between the silicon dioxide comprising layer and silicon nitride comprising layer in comparison with the absence of Al and Ga.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 1, 4-14, 17-51, 55-68, 89-90 are allowed.
- 6. Claim 53 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 09/924,816

Art Unit: 1765

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2, 15 and 53 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4-14, 17-51, 55-68, 89-90 are persuasive.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Binh X Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-1867. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine G Norton can be reached on (703) 305-2667. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 09/924,816

Art Unit: 1765

Page 5

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Binh X. Tran

NADINE G. NORTON NADINE G. NORTON RIMARY EXAMINER